



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,937	01/16/2004	David Jonathan Hall	703734-000020	4642
29540	7590	06/18/2010		
DAY PITNEY LLP 7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			EXAMINER SHAHRESTANI, NASIR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rschneider@daypitney.com
kmcwha@daypitney.com
psorge@daypitney.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/757,937	Applicant(s) HALL, DAVID JONATHAN	
	Examiner NASIR SHAHRESTANI	Art Unit 3737	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/16/2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 11-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 11-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 15, 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wake (U.S. 2002/0100864 A1) in view of Wake (6,339,216 B1).

Wake '864 teaches a method and corresponding system for optical imaging comprising the steps of injecting a pulse of light at an injection port into an object (fig. 1 and 2); collecting at collection ports, light from said object (par. [0020]), to provide an optical signal based TPSF (par. [0022]); and detecting on or more selected time gates of said TPSF to provide information to be used in producing an optical image of said scattering object (par. [0022]).

Wake '864 further teaches wherein said light from said object is collected at two or more locations (detectors 12); wherein desired temporal delays are introduced in propagation of the optical signals (fig. 9A) to produce time delayed TPSF's (circuit 106) and wherein all of said selected time-gates are simultaneously detected (par. [0060]); and further teaches wherein the detector positions are proximal (fig. 2).

Wake '864 further teaches the use of a fiber optic cable or bundle of varying lengths for directing a laser beam (par. [0020]), which can be adjusted to introduce the desired delays (par.

Art Unit: 3737

[0080]) being coupled to one or more detectors and applied to a distinct detecting position (fig. 6).

Wake '864 further teaches a time delay circuit (element 106) which introduces a time delay to fine tune the synchronization pulse (par. 0082) and a programmable delay chip which in conjunction with a time-gating signal (element 128) which samples a portion of the TPSF curve that will be coupled an integrator (par. 0083).

Furthermore, Wake '864 teaches a time-gating circuit used at regular intervals of time during the occurrence of the output (see abstract). Hence, it is clear that Wake '864 teaches "sequential time-gating". Wake '864 also teaches that breast tissue causes the photon beam to scatter, resulting in a zig-zag-like course (par. 0071). The plurality of photon beams (fig. 4, elements A, B, C, D, etc.) are considered to be "staggered" and these "staggered" collection of beams are collected.

Wakes '864 teach all the limitations of claim 1 but do not specifically teach collecting light only for selected time gates, and not for a series of consecutive time-gates.

Wake '216 discloses teachings with respect to a time-resolved breast imaging device wherein narrow pulsed laser with an analog time-gating circuit is utilized to measure both the shape and amplitude of the light signal (TPSF) emanating from the breast, in which a single time-gate samples each TPSF and is moved across successive TPSFs in order to acquire the shape of the pulse.

Art Unit: 3737

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Wake '864 and to have included Wake '216 teachings of a single time-gate sampling each TPSF, in order to provide a more detailed pulse shape.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wake (US 2002/0100864 A1) in view of Wake (6,339,216 B1) and further in view of Grable (US 5,692,511).

Wake '864 in view of Wake '216 teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 5, but do not teach the basis of selecting time-gates.

Grable teaches the measurement criteria considering properties of light and thickness of the desired medium to be measured (col. 12 lines 60-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Wake in view of Wake and to further include the considerations as taught by Grable in order to provide for optimal measurement of the medium and to reduce diagnosis time by selecting appropriate time-gates.

Claims 13-14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wake (US 2002/0100864 A1) in view of Wake (6,339,216 B1) and further in view of Mukherjee et al. (US 2002/0067901).

Wake in view of Wake teach all the limitations of claims 1 and 12 but do not teach using a time-gated camera being and ICCD camera.

Art Unit: 3737

Mukherjee teaches the aforementioned limitation wherein an object or medium is imaged using a fast time-gated camera such as an ICCD camera (par. [0039]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Wake in view of Wake and to provide ICCD cameras in place of the aforementioned detectors to provide for accurate imaging of a dynamically evolving entity.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NASIR SHAHRESTANI whose telephone number is (571)270-1031. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs: 7:30-5:00, 2nd Friday: 7:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
3737

/Nasir Shahrestani/
Examiner, Art Unit 3737